Meeting Minutes 5/21/2019

In attendance:

Steve Whitson
Caty Field
Richard Romero—MAAC
Heather—Kansas City CoC
Adam and Amanda—Springfield
Jayna—ICA
Lacy—ICA
Shana Eubanks—Community Council of St. Charles
Sherri Ellis—MARC
Tammy Walker—ESC

The group discussed the Program Performance Measures document and specifically addressed comments provided by the Missouri Housing Trust Fund Advisory Committee.

Program Vs. Project
- Tammy- they are the same thing- if they are deemed eligible = entry date
- Jayna- Just need to train on the language
  - 3.10 HUD Data Elements- Project Start Date
Assistance that runs through multiple grant periods
- 3.11 HUD Data Elements- Project Exit Dates
  - Refer to these and use this language
Permanent Housing Destinations
- Change pick list to match? This cannot be done b/c HUD is the one who chooses what goes in the pick lists
- Non-permanent destinations? Not options based on HUD requirements
- HUD picks these destinations because they relate and can alter other reports
- Shauna- keep as is, everyone is familiar with those options and we don’t want to confuse users by adding different forms for different programs
Define Programs (i.e. Housing Assistance as RRH)
- Just ensure they are consistent across all regions
Exiting & Re-enrolling Multiple times
- The closing date is going to have more of an impact
- Right now the last exit date is looked at for all measures- HUD system performance measures uses this date
- If a client is enrolled at the end of a grant period, they are not considered a “leaver”
Increase in Income for EA
- HA- families don’t engage in case management so it is often hard to track this
- Many times there is not an increase, rather a decrease
Implementation for 2019?
- We will have to look at the following and see if it can be done
  - Training agencies
  - Changing any HMIS reports/forms
  - Who’s going to pay for these new reports to be created?